

# PLANNING PYRAMID POWER FOR COLLABORATIVE PLANNING



**Manny teaches 10th-grade world history to more than 200 students a day, including 12 students with learning disabilities. He is constantly frustrated because he cannot finish the textbook, as mandated by the school district. As he puts it, "I have 38 chapters to finish in 36 weeks. It's impossible."**

**Tiffany is a first-year 5th-grade teacher with a self-contained classroom. She has three students with learning disabilities in her classroom for social studies. Her concern is that she doesn't know how to incorporate planning for individual differences within her planning for the whole class.**

**Gina (a general education teacher) and Alyssa (a special education teacher) co-teach in a 3rd-grade inclusion classroom. They are anxious to make their co-teaching model work, but are in the process of defining their new roles and responsibilities. They want to coordinate their work so they can meet the needs of all students in their classroom.**

*Jeanne Shay Schumm  
Sharon Vaughn  
Judy Harris*



**T**his article describes a planning tool that can help both special and general educators with "covering the curriculum." This tool, the Planning Pyramid (Schumm, Vaughn, & Leavell, 1994), is designed to facilitate inclusion and collaboration.

## **Planning Pyramid**

The Planning Pyramid is a framework for planning instruction to enhance learning for all students. The pyramid is particularly helpful in subject areas like science and social studies, which introduce concepts and vocabulary that are new to many students. It is a flexible tool that teachers can adjust to their personal styles of planning and teaching (Figure 1).

## **Degrees of Learning**

The Planning Pyramid has three layers, the Degrees of Learning. The layer at the base of the pyramid—the largest volume—represents "what all students will learn." The middle layer represents "what most, but not all, students will learn"; and the smallest layer at the top represents "what some students will learn." The Degrees of Learning are based on the premise that although all students are capable of learning, not all students will

learn all the content covered. To guide their instruction, teachers might ask three questions:

- "What do I want **all** students to learn?" This content is represented by the large volume at the base.
- "What do I want **most** students to learn?" Shown by the middle layer, this is information that most students are expected to learn or grasp: supplementary facts and information about ideas and concepts presented at the base of the pyramid.
- "What information will a **few** students learn?" The top of the pyramid represents information that enhances the basic concepts and facts. For example, some students may decide to pursue a topic that he or she has read about in the text or that the teacher has mentioned or briefly discussed in class.

The Planning Pyramid is designed to help teachers prioritize curricular components and to help students focus on those critical components. Here are some important cautions:

- The pyramid is not meant to limit expectations for students or to limit student opportunities to learn. All students should have equal access to information that represents all levels of the pyramid.

- All students should have the opportunity to be exposed to the same information, although presentation of the information may vary somewhat according to the student's needs.
- Activities at the base of the pyramid should not consist of tasks or activities that are less stimulating (e.g., ditto, worksheets), nor should the upper levels be viewed as the place for creative and fun activities.
- Students **must not** be assigned to a particular level of the pyramid based on their academic ability. Students who learn at the middle and top levels do so based on their interests, prior knowledge, personal experience, or need for prerequisite skills.

#### Points of Entry

The second component of the Planning Pyramid is called the Points of Entry. Each axis, or point, of the pyramid represents one aspect of instruction: teacher, topic, content, student, and instructional practices. Each Point of Entry is guided by questions that help teachers plan lessons and courses (Figure 2). For example, two questions that pertain to students are "Will a language difference make comprehension of a particular concept difficult for a student?" and "Will there be students with high interest in or prior knowledge of these concepts?"


#### Collaboration Today

With the national trend toward inclusion of students with disabilities into general education classrooms, classroom teachers must plan lessons to meet the varying needs of students with disabilities, as well as academically talented or gifted students, students with limited English proficiency, and students who do not qualify for special services.

Although special educators have long served as consultants for their general education colleagues, more and more special educators are being asked—indeed, required—to work closely with general classroom teachers as collaborators. The realities of most general education classrooms can be daunting.

For example, most classroom teachers feel great pressure to complete state and district mandated curricular objectives by the end of the school year (Schumm et al., 1995; Vaughn & Schumm, 1994). Teachers are expected to **cover the content** at a steady pace, without enough time to ensure understanding or learning by all students. General education teachers often feel that they have no choice but to "water down" the curriculum and reduce the quality or quantity of content coverage.

Figure 1. The Planning Pyramid



**Figure 2. Questions Related to Each Point of Entry**

<b>1. Questions pertaining to the Topic:</b>	Is the material new or review? What prior knowledge do students have of this topic? How interesting is the topic? How many new concepts are introduced? How clearly are concepts presented in the textbook? How important is this topic in the overall curriculum?
<b>2. Questions pertaining to the Teacher:</b>	What prior knowledge do I have of this topic? How interesting is the topic to me? How much time do I have to plan for the lesson? What resources do I have available to me for this unit?
<b>3. Questions pertaining to Students:</b>	Will a language difference make comprehension of a particular concept difficult for a student? Is there some way to relate this concept to the cultural and linguistic backgrounds of my students? Will students with reading difficulties be able to function independently in learning the concepts from text? Will a student with behavior or attention problems be able to concentrate on this material? Will there be students with high interest in or prior knowledge of these concepts? Will my students have the vocabulary they need to understand the concepts to be taught? What experiences have my students had that will relate to this concept?
<b>4. Questions pertaining to Context:</b>	Are there any holidays or special events that are likely to distract students or alter instructional time? How will the class size affect my teaching of this concept? How well do my students work in small groups or pairs?
<b>5. Questions pertaining to Instructional Strategies:</b>	What methods will I use to motivate students and to set a purpose for learning? What grouping pattern is most appropriate? What instructional strategies can I implement? What learning strategies do my students know or need to learn that will help them master these concepts? What in-class and homework assignments are appropriate for this lesson? Do some assignments need to be adapted for children with disabilities? How will I monitor student learning on an ongoing, informal basis? How will I assess student learning at the end of the lesson?

## Using the Planning Pyramid in Collaborative Planning

We offer the following suggestions for special educators who collaboratively plan with general education colleagues using the Planning Pyramid:



Identify one general education teacher with whom you will pilot the planning procedure in your school. Ask this teacher to make a commitment to planning at least two or three lessons.



During the first meeting, explain the basic premise and components of the Planning Pyramid and plan one lesson. Start by discussing the lesson, using the questions from the Points of Entry as a guideline. Use the questions related to topic, teacher, students, and context to guide the initial discussion.



sible new directions for using the pyramid with other colleagues in the school.

## Implicit Planning Made Explicit

The Planning Pyramid may assist teachers with the new impetus toward collaborative instruction in inclusive classrooms. Directives and mandates about consultation and collaboration may seem vague, but we have found that the Planning Pyramid enables teachers to become more *explicit* about what they want students to learn and more proficient in planning instructional lessons that promote learning for *all* students.

## References

Bos, C. S., & Vaughn, S. (1994). *Strategies for teaching students with learning and behavior problems* (3rd ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Mercer, C. D., & Mercer, A. R. (1989). *Teaching students with learning problems* (3rd ed.). Columbus, OH: Merrill.

Schumm, J. S., & Strickler, K. (1991). Guidelines for adapting content area textbooks: Keeping the teachers and students content. *Intervention, 27*, 79-84.

Schumm, J. S., Vaughn, S., Haager, D., McDowell, J., Rothlein, L., & Saumell, L. (1995). General education teacher planning: What can students with learning disabilities expect? *Exceptional Children, 61*, 335-352.

Schumm, J. S., Vaughn, S., & Leavell, A. (1994). Planning pyramid: A framework for planning for diverse student needs during content area instruction. *The Reading Teacher, 47*, 608-615.

Vaughn, S., Bos, C. S., & Schumm, J. S. (1997). *Teaching mainstreamed, diverse, and at-risk learners in the general education classroom*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Vaughn, S., & Schumm, J. S. (1994). Middle school teachers' planning for students with learning disabilities. *Remedial and Special Education, 15*, 152-161.


**Jeanne Shay Schumm** (CEC Chapter #121), Professor; **Sharon Vaughn** (CEC Chapter #121), Professor, School-Based Research Project; **Judy Harris**, Research Associate, Department of Teaching and Learning, University of Miami, Florida.

Address correspondence to Jeanne Shay Schumm at the School of Education, University of Miami, P.O. Box 246065, Coral Gables, FL 33124 (e-mail: jjschumm@aol.com).

We gratefully acknowledge partial support for this project from the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

We also wish to acknowledge the expert assistance of Maria Elena Arguelles, Special Education Teacher, W. R. Thomas Middle School, Dade County, Florida, who helped us field-test the Planning Pyramid as a collaborative planning tool.

Copyright 1997 CEC.



## FOUNDATIONS™

Information Management Software for  
Alternative Schools and At-Risk Students

- **Easy to Use**—  
You can be up and running in minutes!
- **It Costs Less**—  
Less than half the cost of current I/M software!
- **All-Inclusive Package**—  
Includes Intake, History, Discipline, Report Cards,  
Progress and Meeting Notes and Contracts.

For further information & a demo disk—

call **1-800-270-7928**, today!

Designed & developed by the staff of  
**The Enterprise School**  
1629 Beulah Road  
Vienna, Virginia 22182-1920



## index of advertisers

The Council for Exceptional  
Children, pp. 51, 61, 67,  
78, 79, 95, 96

The Enterprise Alternative  
School, p. 66

Fairfax County Public  
Schools, p. 9

Hawthorne Education  
Services, p. 71

Meridian Creative Group,  
p. 83

Pathway School, p. 31

Recording for the Blind &  
Dyslexic, p. 95

SimulationsPlus, cover 2

Steck-Vaughn, cover 4

University of Louisville,  
p. 83